

# TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS INC

## FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 07/26/12 for the Period Ending 06/30/12

Address	5 PENN PLAZA 4TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10001
Telephone	(212) 246-6700
CIK	0001281774
Symbol	CLUB
SIC Code	7997 - Membership Sports and Recreation Clubs
Industry	Recreational Activities
Sector	Services
Fiscal Year	12/31

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

---

**Form 10-Q**

---

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the Transition period from            to            .

Commission File Number 000-52013

---

**TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL  
HOLDINGS, INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

---

**Delaware**  
(State or other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**20-0640002**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**5 Penn Plaza (4th Floor)**  
**New York, New York 10001**  
**Telephone: (212) 246-6700**  
(Address, zip code, and telephone number, including  
area code, of registrant's principal executive office.)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of July 20, 2012, there were 23,565,988 shares of Common Stock of the registrant outstanding.

---

---

## Table of Contents

**FORM 10-Q**  
**For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012**

**INDEX**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	28
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A. Risk Factors	30
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	31
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	31
Item 5. Other Information	31
Item 6. Exhibits	31
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	<b>32</b>
EX-3.1	
EX-3.2	
EX-31.1	
EX-31.2	
EX-32.1	
EX-32.2	
EX-101.INS	
EX-101.SCH	
EX-101.CAL	
EX-101.DEF	
EX-101.LAB	
EX-101.PRE	

[Table of Contents](#)

**TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011**  
**(All figures in thousands except share and per share data)**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,804	\$ 47,880
Accounts receivable (less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,839 and \$2,440 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively)	7,675	5,857
Inventory	263	290
Prepaid corporate income taxes	—	73
Deferred tax assets, net	20,778	20,218
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11,010	10,599
Total current assets	96,530	84,917
Fixed assets, net	269,304	286,041
Goodwill	32,779	32,799
Deferred tax assets, net	14,304	19,782
Deferred membership costs	11,144	10,117
Other assets	16,066	15,886
Total assets	<u>\$440,127</u>	<u>\$ 449,542</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,507
Accounts payable	5,864	9,180
Accrued expenses	27,127	26,575
Accrued interest	355	950
Corporate income taxes payable	754	—
Deferred revenue	42,570	40,822
Total current liabilities	91,670	103,034
Long-term debt	253,179	263,487
Deferred lease liabilities	63,415	65,119
Deferred revenue	7,990	5,338
Other liabilities	11,699	12,210
Total liabilities	427,953	449,188
Contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; issued and outstanding 23,565,988 and 23,040,881 shares at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	23	23
Additional paid-in capital	(17,379)	(19,934)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,249	1,251
Retained earnings	28,281	19,014
Total stockholders' equity	12,174	354
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$440,127</u>	<u>\$ 449,542</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(All figures in thousands except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Club operations	\$ 120,804	\$ 117,185	\$ 242,538	\$ 232,777
Fees and other	1,437	1,100	2,615	2,213
	<u>122,241</u>	<u>118,285</u>	<u>245,153</u>	<u>234,990</u>
<b>Operating Expenses:</b>				
Payroll and related	45,280	45,101	92,639	90,353
Club operating	44,611	43,385	89,742	87,487
General and administrative	6,135	6,096	12,068	13,516
Depreciation and amortization	12,419	13,185	25,279	26,187
	<u>108,445</u>	<u>107,767</u>	<u>219,728</u>	<u>217,543</u>
Operating income	13,796	10,518	25,425	17,447
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	4,865	—	4,865
Interest expense	5,554	6,621	11,485	12,203
Interest income	(8)	(19)	(18)	(90)
Equity in the earnings of investees and rental income	(632)	(611)	(1,220)	(1,255)
Income (loss) before provision for corporate income taxes	8,882	(338)	15,178	1,724
Provision for corporate income taxes	3,465	72	5,911	601
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 5,417</u>	<u>\$ (410)</u>	<u>\$ 9,267</u>	<u>\$ 1,123</u>
<b>Earnings (loss) per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.05
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.05
<b>Weighted average number of shares used in calculating earnings (loss) per share:</b>				
Basic	23,293,228	22,799,816	23,205,628	22,755,651
Diluted	24,019,116	22,799,816	23,933,660	23,211,425

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**  
**For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011**  
**(All figures in thousands)**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<u>Three Months Ended</u> <u>June 30,</u>		<u>Six Months Ended</u> <u>June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
<b>Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss):</b>				
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,417	\$ (410)	\$9,267	\$1,123
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(125)	322	33	544
Interest rate swap, net of tax	25	—	(35)	—
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 5,317</u>	<u>\$ (88)</u>	<u>\$9,265</u>	<u>\$1,667</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(All figures in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 9,267	\$ 1,123
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	25,279	26,187
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	4,865
Call premium on redemption of Senior Discount Notes	—	(2,538)
Amortization of debt discount	192	52
Amortization of debt issuance costs	575	553
Non-cash rental expense, net of non-cash rental income	(2,377)	(2,082)
Share-based compensation expense	570	658
Decrease in deferred tax asset	4,915	1,020
Net change in certain operating assets and liabilities	(2,295)	8,132
Increase in deferred membership costs	(1,027)	(2,237)
Landlord contributions to tenant improvements	995	149
Decrease in insurance reserves	(1,332)	(984)
Other	266	184
Total adjustments	<u>25,761</u>	<u>33,959</u>
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>35,028</u>	<u>35,082</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(7,087)	(11,719)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(7,087)</u>	<u>(11,719)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Principal payments on 2011 Term Loan Facility	(21,007)	(750)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	2,011	225
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	—	56
Proceeds from 2011 Senior Credit Facility, net of original issue discount	—	297,000
Debt issuance costs	—	(8,065)
Repayment of 2007 Term Loan Facility	—	(178,063)
Repayment of Senior Discount Notes	—	(138,450)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(18,996)</u>	<u>(28,047)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	<u>(21)</u>	<u>393</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	8,924	(4,291)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	<u>\$ 47,880</u>	<u>\$ 38,803</u>
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	<u>\$ 56,804</u>	<u>\$ 34,512</u>
<b>Summary of the change in certain operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Increase in accounts receivable	\$ (1,823)	\$ (1,188)
Decrease (increase) in inventory	27	(50)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,007)	3,226
Decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and accrued interest	(5,177)	(3,337)
Change in prepaid corporate income taxes and corporate income taxes payable	829	558
Increase in deferred revenue	4,856	8,923
Net change in certain operating assets and liabilities	<u>\$ (2,295)</u>	<u>\$ 8,132</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash payments for interest, excluding call premium on the redemption of the Senior Discount Notes	<u>\$ 11,215</u>	<u>\$ 17,728</u>
Cash payments for income taxes	<u>\$ 193</u>	<u>\$ 525</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

As of June 30, 2012, Town Sports International Holdings, Inc. (the “Company” or “TSI Holdings”), through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Town Sports International, LLC (“TSI, LLC”), operated 160 fitness clubs (“clubs”), comprised of 108 clubs in the New York metropolitan market under the “New York Sports Clubs” brand name, 25 clubs in the Boston market under the “Boston Sports Clubs” brand name, 18 clubs (two of which are partly-owned) in the Washington, D.C. market under the “Washington Sports Clubs” brand name, six clubs in the Philadelphia market under the “Philadelphia Sports Clubs” brand name and three clubs in Switzerland. The Company’s operating segments are New York Sports Clubs, Boston Sports Clubs, Philadelphia Sports Clubs, Washington Sports Clubs and Swiss Sports Clubs. The Company has determined that its operating segments have similar economic characteristics and meet the criteria which permit them to be aggregated into one reportable segment.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s December 31, 2011 consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The year-end condensed balance sheet data included within this Form 10-Q was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). Certain information and footnote disclosures that are normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations. The information reflects all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods set forth herein. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year ending December 31, 2012.

Balance Sheet Revisions

The Company has revised its March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consolidated balance sheets to correct a classification error by reflecting a portion of deferred tax assets, from noncurrent to current assets.

	March 31, 2012			December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	As Previously Filed	As Revised	Difference	As Previously Filed	As Revised	Difference	As Previously Filed	As Revised	Difference
Current deferred tax assets, net	\$ —	\$ 20,609	\$ 20,609	\$ —	\$ 20,218	\$ 20,218	\$ —	\$ 9,776	\$ 9,776
Total current assets	\$ 60,230	\$ 80,839	\$ 20,609	\$ 64,699	\$ 84,917	\$ 20,218	\$ 64,833	\$ 74,609	\$ 9,776
Noncurrent deferred tax assets, net	\$ 37,603	\$ 16,994	\$(20,609)	\$ 40,000	\$ 19,782	\$(20,218)	\$ 41,883	\$ 32,107	\$(9,776)
Total assets	\$433,285	\$433,285	\$ —	\$449,542	\$449,542	\$ —	\$464,166	\$464,166	\$ —

These adjustments were not considered material individually or in the aggregate to previously issued financial statements. However, because of the significance of these adjustments, the Company revised the respective balance sheets. These revisions had no impact on the Company’s total assets, results of operations or cash flows.



## Table of Contents

### *Change in Estimated Average Membership Life*

Joining fees and related direct and incremental expenses of membership acquisition, which include sales commissions, bonuses and related taxes and benefits, which are direct and incremental costs related to the sale of new memberships, are currently deferred and recognized, on a straight-line basis, in operations over the estimated average membership life. Currently, the estimated average membership life of an unrestricted member has remained unchanged at 28 months since January 1, 2012.

The Company tracks the estimated average membership life of restricted members separately from unrestricted members. The restricted membership base currently includes student memberships introduced in April 2010, teacher memberships introduced in April 2011 and first responder memberships introduced as a one-time promotional offer in September 2011. The Company now believes the estimated average membership life of a restricted student member is 27 months using historical data from April 2010 through June 30, 2012. This represents an increase from 25 months as of January 1, 2012. If the estimated average membership life for restricted student members had remained at 25 months for the three months ended June 30, 2012, the impact would have been an increase in revenue and net income of approximately \$99 and \$50, respectively. The Company will continue to monitor attrition trends of students on a quarterly basis and adjust this estimated average membership life accordingly.

## 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) amended its authoritative guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Under the amendment, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This amendment, therefore, eliminates the currently available option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders’ equity. The amendment does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The Company adopted this amended guidance for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2012 and has elected to present two separate but consecutive statements, consisting of a statement of operations followed by a statement of comprehensive income. As this guidance relates to presentation only, the adoption of this guidance did not have any other effect on the Company’s financial statements. The new guidance was applied retrospectively.

In September 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance allowing the use of a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. The updated guidance permits companies to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of one of their reporting units is less than its carrying value. If the Company concludes that this is the case, it is then necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The updated guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this amended guidance for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2012 and applied the guidance for the Company’s annual goodwill impairment test performed on February 29, 2012. The Company’s qualitative assessment pursuant to the amended guidance indicated that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the Company’s goodwill reporting units are greater than their carrying amounts and therefore the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test was not performed.

## 3. Long-Term Debt

	<u>June 30, 2012</u>	<u>December 31, 2011</u>
2011 Term Loan Facility	\$ 270,743	\$ 291,750
Less: Unamortized discount	(2,564)	(2,756)
Less: Current portion due within one year	(15,000)	(25,507)
Long-term portion	<u>\$ 253,179</u>	<u>\$ 263,487</u>

On May 11, 2011, TSI, LLC entered into a \$350,000 senior secured credit facility (“2011 Senior Credit Facility”). The 2011 Senior Credit Facility consists of a \$300,000 term loan facility (“2011 Term Loan Facility”), and a \$50,000 revolving loan facility (“2011 Revolving Loan Facility”). The 2011 Term Loan Facility was issued at an original issue discount (“OID”) of 1.0% or \$3,000. The proceeds were used to pay off amounts outstanding under the Company’s previously outstanding long-term debt facility (“2007 Senior Credit Facility”), to pay the redemption price for all of the Company’s outstanding 11% senior discount notes due in 2014 (“Senior Discount Notes”), and to pay related fees and expenses. None of

## Table of Contents

the revolving facility was drawn upon as of the closing date, but loans under the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility may be drawn from time to time pursuant to the terms of the 2011 Senior Credit Facility. The 2011 Term Loan Facility matures on May 11, 2018, and the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility matures on May 11, 2016. The borrowings under the 2011 Senior Credit Facility are guaranteed and secured by assets and pledges of capital stock by the Company, TSI, LLC and the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of TSI, LLC.

The \$3,000 OID was recorded as a contra-liability to long-term debt on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet and is being amortized as interest expense using the effective interest method. The unamortized balance of the OID as of June 30, 2012 is \$2,564.

As of June 30, 2012, there were no outstanding 2011 Revolving Loan Facility borrowings and outstanding letters of credit issued totaled \$6,341. The unutilized portion of the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility as of June 30, 2012 was \$43,659.

Borrowings under the 2011 Term Loan Facility, at TSI, LLC's option, bear interest at either the administrative agent's base rate plus 4.5% or its Eurodollar rate plus 5.5%, each as defined in the 2011 Senior Credit Facility. The Eurodollar Rate has a floor of 1.50% and the base rate a floor of 2.50% with respect to the outstanding term loans. As of June 30, 2012, the interest rate was 7.0%. TSI, LLC is required to pay 0.25% of principal, or \$750 per quarter, in respect of such loans. If, as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of TSI Holdings, the total leverage ratio, as defined, is greater than 2.75:1.00, TSI, LLC is required to pay \$3,750, or 1.25% of principal. As of June 30, 2012, TSI, LLC had a total leverage ratio of 2.62:1.00 and TSI, LLC will be required to make a principal payment of \$750 on September 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, TSI, LLC has made a total of \$29,257 in principal payments on the 2011 Term Loan Facility.

The terms of the 2011 Senior Credit Facility provide for financial covenants which require TSI, LLC to maintain a total leverage ratio, as defined, of no greater than 4.50:1.00 or less effective March 31, 2012 and thereafter; an interest expense coverage ratio of no less than 2.00:1.00; and a covenant that limits capital expenditures to \$40,000 for the four quarters ending in any quarter during which the total leverage ratio is greater than 3.00:1.00 and to \$50,000 for the four quarters ending in any quarter during which the ratio is less than or equal to 3.00:1.00 but greater than 2.50:1.00. This covenant does not limit capital expenditures if the ratio is less than or equal to 2.50:1.00. TSI, LLC was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012 with a total leverage ratio of 2.62:1.00 and an interest expense coverage ratio of 4.28:1.00.

TSI, LLC may prepay the 2011 Term Loan Facility and 2011 Revolving Loan Facility without premium or penalty in accordance with the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, except that a prepayment premium of 2.0% is payable prior to May 11, 2012 and a prepayment premium of 1.0% is payable from May 11, 2012 to May 11, 2013. Mandatory prepayments are required in certain circumstances relating to cash flow in excess of certain expenditures, asset sales, insurance recovery and incurrence of certain other debt. The 2011 Senior Credit Facility contains provisions that require excess cash flow payments, as defined in the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, to be applied against outstanding 2011 Term Loan Facility balances. The excess cash flow is calculated as of December 31 and paid on March 31. The applicable excess cash flow repayment percentage is applied to the excess cash flow when determining the excess cash flow payment. Earnings, changes in working capital and capital expenditure levels all impact the determination of any excess cash flows. The applicable excess cash flow repayment percentage is 75% when the total leverage ratio, as defined in the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, exceeds 3.00:1.00; 50% when the total leverage ratio is greater than 2.50:1.00 but less than or equal to 3.00:1.00; 25% when the total leverage ratio is greater than 2.00:1.00 but less than or equal to 2.50:1.00 and 0% when the total leverage ratio is less than or equal to 2.00:1.00. This calculation was performed as of December 31, 2011 and as a result a principal payment of \$16,507 was made with cash on hand on March 31, 2012. Based on current forecasted expectations of earnings, changes in working capital, capital expenditures and debt levels, the Company estimates that the excess cash flow calculation as of December 31, 2012 would result in approximately \$12,000 payable on March 31, 2013. This estimate assumes a total leverage ratio greater than 2.50:1.00 but less than or equal to 3.00:1.00 as of December 31, 2012. If the Company's total leverage ratio as of December 31, 2012 were greater than 2.00:1.00 but less than or equal to 2.50:1.00, the excess cash flow payment would approximate \$6,000.

As of June 30, 2012, the 2011 Term Loan Facility has a gross principal balance of \$270,743 and a balance of \$268,179, net of the unamortized OID.

Debt issuance costs related to the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were \$8,065, of which, \$7,288 is being amortized as interest expense, and are included in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Unamortized loan costs of \$1,550 related to the 2007 Senior Credit Facility and the Senior Discount Notes and \$777 of costs related to the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were written off in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and are included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

---

## Table of Contents

### ***Repayment of 2007 Senior Credit Facility***

Contemporaneously with entry into the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, TSI, LLC repaid the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Term Loan Facility of \$164,001. The 2007 Term Loan Facility was set to expire on the earlier of February 27, 2014, or August 1, 2013, if the Senior Discount Notes were still outstanding. There were no outstanding amounts under the 2007 Revolving Loan Facility as of such date. The 2007 Term Loan Facility was repaid at face value plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$447 and fees related to the letters of credit of \$27. The total cash paid in connection with this repayment was \$164,475 as of May 11, 2011 with no early repayment penalty. The Company determined that the 2011 Senior Credit Facility was not substantially different than the 2007 Senior Credit Facility for certain lenders based on the less than 10% difference in cash flows of the respective debt instruments. A portion of the transaction was therefore accounted for as a modification of the 2007 Senior Credit Facility and a portion was accounted for as an extinguishment. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded refinancing charges of approximately \$634, representing the write-off of the remaining unamortized debt costs related to the 2007 Senior Credit Facility, which is included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

### ***Redemption of Senior Discount Notes***

A portion of the proceeds from the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were also used to pay the remaining principal amount on the Senior Discount Notes of \$138,450 plus a call premium of 1.833% of the principal amount thereof totaling approximately \$2,538 and accrued interest of \$5,457. The accrued interest included interest through May 11, 2011 of \$4,188, plus 30 days of additional interest of \$1,269, representing the interest charge during the 30 day notification period. The Company determined that the 2011 Senior Credit Facility was substantially different than the Senior Discount Notes. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company wrote-off unamortized deferred financing costs of approximately \$916 related to the redemption of the Senior Discount Notes, which is included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

### ***Fair Market Value***

Based on quoted market prices, the 2011 Term Loan Facility had a fair value of approximately \$273,450 and \$288,833 at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and is classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

## **4. Derivative Financial Instruments**

In its normal operations, the Company is exposed to market risks relating to fluctuations in interest rates. In order to minimize the negative impact of such fluctuations on the Company's cash flows the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments ("derivatives"), such as interest-rate swaps. Any instruments are not entered into for trading purposes and the Company only uses commonly traded instruments. Currently, the Company has used derivatives solely relating to the variability of cash flows from interest rate fluctuations.

Effective July 13, 2011, the Company entered into an interest rate swap arrangement which effectively converted \$150,000, of its variable-rate debt based on a one-month Eurodollar rate to a fixed rate of 1.983%, or a total fixed rate of 7.483%, on this \$150,000 when including the applicable 5.50% margin. This swap matures on July 13, 2014. As permitted by ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the Company has designated this swap as a cash flow hedge, the effects of which have been reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The objective of this hedge is to manage the variability of cash flows in the interest payments related to the portion of the variable-rate debt designated as being hedged.

When a derivative is executed and hedge accounting is appropriate, it is designated as a cash flow hedge at inception with re-designation being permitted under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. Interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes since they are being used to transform variable interest rate exposure to fixed interest rate exposure on a recognized liability (debt). On an ongoing basis, the Company performs a quarterly assessment of the effectiveness of the hedge relationship and measures and recognizes any hedge ineffectiveness in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, hedge ineffectiveness was evaluated using the hypothetical derivative method, and the ineffective portion of the hedge was reported in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. The amount related to hedge ineffectiveness for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was de minimis.

Accounting guidance on fair value measurements specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

## Table of Contents

- Level 1—Quoted prices for *identical* instruments in active markets.
- Level 2—Quoted prices for *similar* instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3—Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value.

The fair value for the Company's interest rate swap is determined using observable current market information such as the prevailing Eurodollar interest rate and Eurodollar yield curve rates and include consideration of counterparty credit risk. The following table presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instrument:

	Total Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Interest rate swap liability as of June 30, 2012	\$ 1,377	\$ —	\$ 1,377	\$ —

The swap contract liability of \$1,377 is recorded as a component of other liabilities with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income (\$776, net of taxes) on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012.

### 5. Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and the interest rate swap. Although the Company deposits its cash with more than one financial institution, as of June 30, 2012, \$39,081 of the cash balance of \$56,804 was held at one financial institution. The Company has not experienced any losses on cash and cash equivalent accounts to date, and the Company believes that, based on the credit ratings of these financial institutions, it is not exposed to any significant credit risk related to cash at this time.

The counterparty to the Company's interest rate swap is a major banking institution with a credit rating of investment grade or better and no collateral is required, and there are no significant risk concentrations. The Company believes the risk of incurring losses on derivative contracts related to credit risk is unlikely.

### 6. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average numbers of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed similarly to basic earnings (loss) per share, except that the denominator is increased for the assumed exercise of dilutive stock options and unvested restricted stock calculated using the treasury stock method.

## Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding — basic	23,293,228	22,799,816	23,205,628	22,755,651
Effect of dilutive share-based awards	725,888	—	728,032	455,774
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding — diluted	<u>24,019,116</u>	<u>22,799,816</u>	<u>23,933,660</u>	<u>23,211,425</u>
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.05
Diluted	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.05

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company did not include stock options to purchase 145,914 shares and 321,362 shares of the Company's common stock, respectively, in the calculations of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of those options were greater than the average market price and such inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, there was no effect of dilutive stock options and restricted common stock on the calculation of diluted loss per share as the Company reported a net loss in the period. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not include stock options to purchase 678,412 shares of the Company's common stock in the calculation of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of those options were greater than the average market price and their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

## 7. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the "2006 Plan"), authorizes the Company to issue up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock to employees, non-employee directors and consultants pursuant to awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, in payment of performance shares or other stock-based awards. Under the 2006 Plan, stock options must be granted at a price not less than the fair market value of the stock on the date the option is granted, generally are not subject to re-pricing, and will not be exercisable more than ten years after the date of grant. Options granted under the 2006 Plan generally qualify as "non-qualified stock options" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. Certain options granted under the Company's 2004 Common Stock Option Plan, as amended (the "2004 Plan"), generally qualify as "incentive stock options" under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code; the exercise price of a stock option granted under this plan may not be less than the fair market value of common stock on the option grant date.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had 63,280 stock options outstanding under the 2004 Plan and 1,559,985 shares of restricted stock and stock options outstanding under the 2006 Plan.

### *Stock Option Awards*

The Company did not issue any stock option grants during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The total compensation expense, classified within payroll and related on the condensed consolidated statements of operations, related to options outstanding was \$131 and \$296 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$262 and \$526 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, a total of \$427 in unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

### *Restricted Stock Awards*

On May 18, 2012 and April 27, 2012, the Company issued 75,000 and 8,000 shares of restricted stock, respectively, to employees. The fair value per share for the May 18, 2012 and April 27, 2012 restricted stock awards were \$11.46 and \$13.01, respectively, representing the closing stock price on the respective dates of grant. These shares will vest 25% per year over four years on the anniversary dates of the respective grants. There was no restricted stock awarded during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

## Table of Contents

The total compensation expense, classified within payroll and related on the condensed consolidated statements of operations, related to restricted stock was \$88 and \$158 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$22 and \$36 for three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, a total of \$1,699 in unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years.

### Stock Grants

In the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company issued shares of common stock to members of the Company's Board of Directors as payment of their annual and quarterly retention. The total fair value of the shares issued was expensed upon the date of grant. Total shares issued were:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Price Per Share</u>	<u>Grant Date</u> <u>Fair Value</u>
January 18, 2012	10,835	\$ 8.69	\$ 94
May 10, 2012	1,667	\$ 12.99	\$ 22

## 8. Fixed Asset Impairment

Fixed assets are evaluated for impairment periodically whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that related carrying amounts may not be recoverable from undiscounted cash flows in accordance with FASB released guidance. The Company's long-lived assets and liabilities are grouped at the individual club level, which is the lowest level for which there is identifiable cash flow. To the extent that estimated future undiscounted net cash flows attributable to the asset groups are less than the carrying amount, an impairment charge equal to the difference between the carrying value of such asset groups and its fair value, calculated using discounted cash flows, is recognized. The Company did not incur any fixed asset impairment charges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

## 9. Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill has been allocated to reporting units that closely reflect the regions served by the Company's four trade names: New York Sports Clubs ("NYSC"), Boston Sports Clubs ("BSC"), Washington Sports Clubs ("WSC") and Philadelphia Sports Clubs ("PSC"), with certain more remote clubs that do not benefit from a regional cluster being considered single reporting units ("Outlier Clubs") and the Company's three clubs located in Switzerland being considered a single reporting unit ("SSC"). The Company has one Outlier Club with goodwill. The BSC, WSC and PSC regions do not have goodwill balances.

The Company's annual goodwill impairment tests are performed on the last day of February, or more frequently, should circumstances change which would indicate the fair value of goodwill is below its carrying amount. The Company's prior year impairment test, performed as of February 28, 2011, supported the recorded goodwill balances and as such, no impairment of goodwill was required. The valuation of reporting units requires assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows and discount rates.

On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted guidance issued by the FASB allowing the use of a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment and performed its annual impairment test as of February 29, 2012 pursuant to the updated rules. Under the new rules, an entity has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. In assessing the qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the Company assessed relevant events and circumstances that may impact the fair value and the carrying amount of the reporting unit. The identification of relevant events and circumstances and how these may impact a reporting unit's fair value or carrying amount involve significant judgments and assumptions. The judgment and assumptions include the identification of macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance, Company specific events and share price trends and making the assessment on whether each relevant factor will impact the impairment test positively or negatively and the magnitude of any such impact. Based upon the Company's qualitative impairment analysis as of February 29, 2012, prepared in accordance with revised guidance, the Company concluded that there was no requirement to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. The key



## Table of Contents

qualitative factors that led to this conclusion were (i) the excess amount or “cushion” between each of the reporting unit’s fair value and carrying value as indicated on the Company’s most recent quantitative assessment on February 28, 2011; (ii) the significant increase in the share price and market capitalization of the Company since the prior year goodwill impairment analysis; and (iii) the overall positive financial performance of the reporting units for the twelve months ended February 29, 2012 as compared to the twelve months ended February 28, 2011, and related improvements in the five year plan.

The Company’s next annual impairment test will be performed as of February 28, 2013 or earlier, if any such change constitutes a triggering event outside the quarter when the annual goodwill impairment test is performed. There were no triggering events in the three months ended June 30, 2012.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 are detailed in the charts below.

	<u>NYSC</u>	<u>BSC</u>	<u>SSC</u>	<u>Outlier Clubs</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>Balance as of January 1, 2011</b>					
Goodwill	\$31,403	\$ 15,766	\$1,254	\$ 3,982	\$ 52,405
Accumulated impairment of goodwill	—	(15,766)	—	(3,845)	(19,611)
	<u>31,403</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,254</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>32,794</u>
<b>Balance as of December 31, 2011</b>					
Goodwill	31,403	15,766	1,254	3,982	52,405
Accumulated impairment of goodwill	—	(15,766)	—	(3,845)	(19,611)
	<u>31,403</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,254</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>32,794</u>
Changes due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations	—	—	5	—	5
	<u>31,403</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,259</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>32,799</u>
<b>Balance as of June 30, 2012</b>					
Goodwill	31,403	15,766	1,259	3,982	52,410
Accumulated impairment of goodwill	—	(15,766)	—	(3,845)	(19,611)
	<u>31,403</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,259</u>	<u>137</u>	<u>32,799</u>
Changes due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations	—	—	(20)	—	(20)
	<u>\$31,403</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$1,239</u>	<u>\$ 137</u>	<u>\$ 32,779</u>

## 10. Income Taxes

The Company determined the income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 by estimating the effective annual tax rates for 2012 and 2011 of 39% and 35%, respectively.

The Company recorded a provision for corporate income taxes of \$5,911 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$601 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company’s effective tax rate was 39% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The benefits from the Company’s captive insurance arrangement impacted the Company’s effective tax rate on its pre-tax income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 44% to 39% and impacted the Company’s effective tax rate on its pre-tax income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 45% to 35%.

As of June 30, 2012, \$1,026 represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the Company’s effective tax rate in 2012.

The Company recognizes both interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties in income tax expense, if deemed applicable. As of June 30, 2012, the amount accrued for interest was \$276.

The Company files federal income tax returns, a foreign jurisdiction return and multiple state and local jurisdiction tax returns. The Company is no longer subject to examinations of its federal income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service for years 2009 and prior. The following state and local jurisdictions are currently examining the Company’s respective returns for the years indicated: New York State (2006, 2007, 2008, 2009), and New York City (2006, 2007, 2008). These examinations are ongoing and the Company is working with the respective taxing authorities and providing all requested information.

---

## Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had net deferred tax assets of \$35,082. Quarterly, the Company assesses the weight of all positive and negative evidence to determine whether the net deferred tax asset is realizable. In 2011, the Company returned to profitability while in 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred losses. In 2012, the Company had a profitable first and second quarter and expects to be profitable for the full year 2012. The Company has historically been a taxpayer and projects that it will be in a three year cumulative income position, excluding non-recurring items, as of December 31, 2012. In addition, the Company, based on recent trends, projects future income sufficient to realize the deferred tax assets during the periods when the temporary tax deductible differences reverse. The Company has federal and state net operating loss carry-forwards which the Company believes will be realized within the available carry-forward period, except for a small state net operating loss carry-forward in Rhode Island due to the short carry-forward period in that state. Accordingly, the Company concluded that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized. If actual results do not meet the Company's forecasts and the Company incurs losses in 2012 and beyond, a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets may be required in the future. In addition, with the exception of the deductions related to the Company's captive insurance for state taxes, taxable income has been and is projected to be the same as federal. The state net deferred tax asset balance as of June 30, 2012 is \$20,866.

### 11. Contingencies

On or about March 1, 2005, in an action styled *Sarah Cruz, et al v. Town Sports International, d/b/a New York Sports Club*, plaintiffs commenced a purported class action against TSI, LLC in the Supreme Court, New York County, seeking unpaid wages and alleging that TSI, LLC violated various overtime provisions of the New York State Labor Law with respect to the payment of wages to certain trainers and assistant fitness managers. On or about June 18, 2007, the same plaintiffs commenced a second purported class action against TSI, LLC in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, seeking unpaid wages and alleging that TSI, LLC violated various wage payment and overtime provisions of the New York State Labor Law with respect to the payment of wages to all New York purported hourly employees. On September 17, 2010, TSI, LLC made motions to dismiss the class action allegations of both lawsuits for plaintiffs' failure to timely file motions to certify the class actions. Oral argument on the motions occurred on November 10, 2010. A decision is still pending. While it is not possible to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or a range of loss in the case of an unfavorable outcome to TSI, LLC at this time, the Company intends to contest these cases vigorously. Depending upon the ultimate outcome, these matters may have a material adverse effect on TSI, LLC's and the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

On September 22, 2009, in an action styled *Town Sports International, LLC v. Ajilon Solutions, a division of Ajilon Professional Staffing LLC* (Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, 602911-09), TSI, LLC brought an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, against Ajilon for breach of contract, conversion and replevin, seeking, among other things, money damages against Ajilon for breaching its agreement to design and deliver to TSI, LLC a new sports club enterprise management system known as GIMS, including failing to provide copies of the computer source code written for GIMS, related documentation, properly identified requirements documents and other property owned and licensed by TSI, LLC. Subsequently, on October 14, 2009, Ajilon brought a counterclaim against TSI, LLC alleging breach of contract, alleging, among other things, failure to pay outstanding invoices in the amount of \$2,900. On March 3, 2011, Ajilon amended its counterclaims to include additional claims for breach of contract and for unjust enrichment, including claims for unspecified additional damages for work allegedly performed by one of its subcontractors. On March 7, 2011, TSI, LLC amended its complaint against Ajilon to add new allegations and claims for fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, fraud, and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing (the "additional claims"). On March 28, 2011, Ajilon moved to dismiss the additional claims. On June 8, 2012, the court granted the motion in part, dismissing the fraudulent inducement claim, the negligent misrepresentation claim, and the claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. On February 3, 2012, TSI, LLC filed a motion for partial summary judgment on one of its contract claims. On March 1, 2012, Ajilon filed a cross motion for partial summary judgment seeking to dismiss one of the contract claims of TSI, LLC. On June 8, 2012, the court denied the motion of TSI, LLC and granted Ajilon's motion. On June 25, 2012, TSI, LLC moved to reargue the summary judgment motions. This motion remains pending. Discovery is complete and the parties are scheduled to attend a pre-trial conference in July 2012. We believe at this time the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is not probable. TSI, LLC intends to prosecute vigorously its claims against Ajilon and defend against Ajilon's counterclaims.

On February 7, 2007, in an action styled *White Plains Plaza Realty, LLC v. TSI, LLC et al.*, the landlord of one of TSI, LLC's former health and fitness clubs filed a lawsuit in state court against it and two of its health club subsidiaries alleging, among other things, breach of lease in connection with the decision to close the club located in a building owned by the



---

## Table of Contents

plaintiff and leased to a subsidiary of TSI, LLC, and take additional space in the nearby facility leased by another subsidiary of TSI, LLC. The trial court granted the landlord damages against TSI White Plains, LLC (the “Tenant”) in the amount of approximately \$700 including interest and costs (“Initial Award”). TSI, LLC was held to be jointly liable with the Tenant for the amount of approximately \$488, under a limited guarantee of the Tenant’s lease obligations. The landlord subsequently appealed the trial court’s award of damages, and on December 21, 2010, the appellate court reversed, in part, the trial court’s decision and ordered the case remanded to the trial court for an assessment of additional damages, of approximately \$750 plus interest and costs (the “Additional Award”). On February 7, 2011, the landlord moved for re-argument of the appellate court’s decision, seeking additional damages plus attorneys’ fees. On April 8, 2011, the appellate court denied the landlord’s motion. On August 29, 2011, the Additional Award (amounting to approximately \$900), was entered against the Tenant, who has recorded a liability. TSI, LLC does not believe it is probable that it will be held liable to pay for any amount of the Additional Award. Separately, TSI, LLC is party to an agreement with a third-party developer, which by its terms provides indemnification for the full amount of any liability of any nature arising out of the lease described above, including attorneys’ fees incurred to enforce the indemnity. In connection with the Initial Award (and in furtherance of the indemnification agreement), TSI, LLC and the developer have entered into an agreement pursuant to which the developer has agreed to pay the amount of the Initial Award in installments over time. The indemnification agreement also covers the Additional Award, and therefore the Tenant has recorded a receivable related to the indemnification. The developer to date has not paid the amount of the Additional Award to the landlord, and the landlord has commenced a special proceeding in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, to collect the Additional Award directly from the developer. A motion to dismiss the special proceeding made by the developer was denied by the court on March 13, 2012. The special proceeding currently is in the discovery phase.

On or about May 30, 2012, in an action styled *James Labbe, et al. v. Town Sports International, LLC*, plaintiff commenced a purported class action under the New York State labor law on behalf of personal trainers employed in New York State, and a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act (the “FLSA”) on behalf of all personal trainers, in the Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York. The action is largely duplicative of the *Cruz* case. Labbe seeks to represent a smaller subset of the same class of New York personal trainers who would be represented by Cruz if a class action were certified in that matter. TSI, LLC could not be held liable to pay the same New York personal trainers for the same damages in both cases. Labbe seeks unpaid wages and damages from TSI, LLC and alleges violations of various provisions of the New York State labor law and the FLSA with respect to payment of wages and TSI, LLC’s notification and record-keeping obligations. TSI, LLC has not yet responded to this complaint. The court has scheduled an initial pre-trial conference for August 17, 2012. While it is not possible to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or a range of loss in the case of an unfavorable outcome to TSI, LLC at this time, the Company intends to contest this case vigorously. Depending upon the ultimate outcome, this matter may have a material adverse effect on TSI, LLC’s and the Company’s consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In addition to the litigation discussed above, we are involved in various other lawsuits, claims and proceedings incidental to the ordinary course of business, including personal injury and employee relations claims. The results of litigation are inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in diversion of significant resources. The results of these other lawsuits, claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of such proceedings, in the opinion of the Company, either the likelihood of loss is remote or any reasonably possible loss associated with the resolution of such proceedings is not expected to be material either individually or in the aggregate.

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

#### Introduction

In this Form 10-Q, unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise indicates, references to "TSI Holdings," "Town Sports," "TSI," "the Company," "we," "our" and similar references refer to Town Sports International Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries, and references to "TSI, LLC" refer to Town Sports International, LLC, our wholly-owned operating subsidiary.

Based on the number of clubs, we are one of the leading owners and operators of fitness clubs in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States and one of the largest fitness club owners and operators in the United States. As of June 30, 2012, the Company, through its subsidiaries, operated 160 fitness clubs. These clubs collectively served approximately 529,000 members, including 42,000 members under our restricted student and teacher memberships as of June 30, 2012. We owned and operated a total of 108 clubs under the "New York Sports Clubs" brand name within a 120-mile radius of New York City as of June 30, 2012, including 37 locations in Manhattan where we are the largest fitness club owner and operator. We owned and operated 25 clubs in the Boston region under our "Boston Sports Clubs" brand name, 18 clubs (two of which are partly-owned) in the Washington, D.C. region under our "Washington Sports Clubs" brand name and six clubs in the Philadelphia region under our "Philadelphia Sports Clubs" brand name as of June 30, 2012. In addition, we owned and operated three clubs in Switzerland as of June 30, 2012. We employ localized brand names for our clubs to create an image and atmosphere consistent with the local community and to foster recognition as a local network of quality fitness clubs rather than a national chain.

We develop clusters of clubs to serve densely populated major metropolitan regions and we service such populations by clustering clubs near the highest concentrations of our target customers' areas of both employment and residence. Our clubs are located for maximum convenience to our members in urban or suburban areas, close to transportation hubs or office or retail centers. Our members include a wide age demographic covering the student market to the active mature market. Our members generally have annual income levels of between \$50,000 and \$150,000. We believe that this "mid-value" segment is the broadest of the market. Our goal is to be the most recognized health club network in each of the four major metropolitan regions that we serve. We believe that our strategy of clustering clubs provides significant benefits to our members and allows us to achieve strategic operating advantages. In each of our markets, we have developed clusters by initially opening or acquiring clubs located in the more central urban markets of the region and then branching out from these urban centers to suburbs and neighboring communities.

#### Revenue and operating expenses

We have two principal sources of revenue:

- *Membership revenue:* Our largest sources of revenue are dues and joining fees paid by our members. In addition, we collect usage fees on a per visit basis subject to peak and off-peak hourly restrictions depending on membership type. These dues and fees comprised 78.1% of our total revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2012. We recognize revenue from membership dues in the month when the services are rendered. Approximately 96% of our members pay their monthly dues by Electronic Funds Transfer, or EFT, while the balance is paid annually in advance. We recognize revenue from joining fees over the expected average life of the membership.
- *Ancillary club revenue:* For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we generated 14.4% of our revenue from personal training and 6.4% of our revenue from other ancillary programs and services consisting of programming for children, Small Group Training and other member activities, as well as sales of miscellaneous sports products. We continue to focus on growing ancillary club revenue by building on ancillary programs such as our personal training membership product and our fee-based Small Group Training programs.

We also receive revenue (approximately 1.1% of our total revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2012) from the rental of space in our facilities to operators who offer wellness-related offerings, such as physical therapy and juice bars. In addition, we sell in-club advertising and sponsorships and generate management fees from certain club facilities that we do not wholly own. We refer to this revenue as Fees and Other revenue.

Our performance is dependent on our ability to continually attract and retain members at our clubs. We experience attrition at our clubs and must attract new members in order to maintain our membership and revenue levels. Our monthly average attrition rate was 3.2% in both three month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

## Table of Contents

Our operating and selling expenses are comprised of both fixed and variable costs. Fixed costs include club and supervisory and other salary and related expenses, occupancy costs, including most elements of rent, utilities, housekeeping and contracted maintenance expenses, as well as depreciation. Variable costs are primarily related to payroll associated with ancillary club revenue, membership sales compensation, advertising, certain facility maintenance, and club supplies.

General and administrative expenses include costs relating to our centralized support functions, such as accounting, insurance, information and communication systems, purchasing, member relations, legal and consulting fees and real estate development expenses. Payroll and related expenses are included in a separate line item on the condensed consolidated statement of operations and are not included in general and administrative expenses.

As clubs mature and increase their membership base, fixed costs are typically spread over an increasing revenue base and operating margins tend to improve. Conversely, when our membership base declines, our operating margins are negatively impacted. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, our membership base at our clubs open over 24 months increased approximately 1.0% and contributed to improved operating margins in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

As of June 30, 2012, 158 of the existing fitness clubs were wholly-owned by us and our condensed consolidated financial statements include the operating results of all such clubs. Two clubs in Washington, D.C. were partly-owned and operated by us, with our profit sharing percentages approximating 20% (after priority distributions) and 45%, respectively, and are treated as unconsolidated affiliates for which we apply the equity method of accounting. In addition, we provide management services at four fitness clubs located in colleges and universities in which we have no equity interest.

### Restricted Memberships

As part of our efforts to better maximize profitability by utilizing our clubs during off-peak hours, in April 2010 we began offering a new, favorably-priced, restricted-use month-to-month membership available to students. We also began offering restricted memberships to teachers and first responders in April 2011 and September 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, we had approximately 42,000 restricted members of which 65% were student members and 35% were comprised of teachers and first responders. The first responder membership was a one-time promotional offering in honor of the tenth anniversary of 9/11 with such promotion no longer being offered.

### Rate Lock Guarantee / Annual Maintenance Fee

In May 2011, we implemented a combined rate lock guarantee and maintenance fee of \$29.00 for all new memberships sold. This fee will be collected annually in January for all members joining after May 2011, and will support average monthly dues revenue per member in 2012 and beyond. In part, this fee is in lieu of a possible future dues increase for these members. In January 2012, we collected approximately \$3.5 million related to this new fee. This fee is being recognized into revenue over the 12 month period ending December 31, 2012.

### Historical Club Count

The following table sets forth the changes in our club count during each of the quarters in 2011, the full-year 2011 and the first and second quarters of 2012.

	2011					2012	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Full-Year	Q1	Q2
Wholly owned clubs operated at beginning of period	158	157	156	156	158	158	158
New clubs opened	—	—	—	2	2	—	—
Clubs closed, relocated or merged	(1)	(1)	—	—	(2)	—	—
Wholly owned clubs at end of period	157	156	156	158	158	158	158
Total clubs operated at end of period (1)	<u>159</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>160</u>

(1) Includes wholly-owned and partly-owned clubs. In addition to the above, during all periods presented, we managed four university fitness clubs in which we did not have an equity interest.

---

## Table of Contents

### Comparable Club Revenue

We define comparable club revenue as revenue at those clubs that were operated by us for over 12 months and comparable club revenue increase (decrease) as revenue for the 13th month and thereafter as applicable as compared to the same period of the prior year.

Key determinants of the comparable club revenue increases (decreases) shown in the table below are new memberships, member retention rates, pricing and ancillary revenue increases (decreases).

<b>2011</b>	
Three months ended March 31, 2011	(0.5)%
Three months ended June 30, 2011	1.5%
Three months ended September 30, 2011	3.0%
Three months ended December 31, 2011	3.4%
<b>2012</b>	
Three months ended March 31, 2012	4.5%
Three months ended June 30, 2012	2.1%

As shown above, comparable club revenue increase (decrease) turned positive in the second quarter of 2011 and has continued its positive trend in each successive quarter reflecting the impact of the membership increases in our comparable club base. Expected increases in ancillary club revenue and improvements in membership and membership pricing will be contributing factors to the positive comparable club revenue expected in 2012. We currently anticipate that comparable club revenue increases for the remainder of 2012 will be between 2.0% and 3.5%.

## Table of Contents

### Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain operating data as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Operating expenses:				
Payroll and related	37.0	38.1	37.8	38.5
Club operating	36.5	36.7	36.6	37.2
General and administrative	5.0	5.2	4.9	5.8
Depreciation and amortization	10.2	11.1	10.3	11.1
	<u>88.7</u>	<u>91.1</u>	<u>89.6</u>	<u>92.6</u>
Operating income	11.3	8.9	10.4	7.4
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	4.1	—	2.0
Interest expense	4.5	5.6	4.7	5.2
Interest income	—	—	—	—
Equity in the earnings of investees and rental income	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Income (loss) before provision for corporate income taxes	<u>7.3</u>	<u>(0.3)</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>0.7</u>
Provision for corporate income taxes	2.8	—	2.4	0.2
Net income (loss)	<u>4.5 %</u>	<u>(0.3)%</u>	<u>3.8 %</u>	<u>0.5 %</u>

Revenue (in thousands) was comprised of the following for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				% Variance
	2012		2011		
	Revenue	% Revenue	Revenue	% Revenue	
Membership dues	\$ 92,944	76.0 %	\$ 91,902	77.7 %	1.1 %
Joining fees	2,686	2.2 %	1,534	1.3 %	75.1 %
Membership revenue	<u>95,630</u>	<u>78.2 %</u>	<u>93,436</u>	<u>79.0 %</u>	2.3 %
Personal training revenue	17,625	14.4 %	16,708	14.1 %	5.5 %
Other ancillary club revenue (1)	7,549	6.2 %	7,041	6.0 %	7.2 %
Ancillary club revenue (2)	25,174	20.6 %	23,749	20.1 %	6.0 %
Fees and other revenue (3)	1,437	1.2 %	1,100	0.9 %	30.6 %
Total revenue	<u>\$122,241</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>\$118,285</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	3.3 %

- (1) Other ancillary revenue primarily consists of Small Group Training, Sports Clubs for Kids, and racquet sports.
- (2) Member usage fees historically recorded in other ancillary club revenue were reclassified to membership dues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 in the amount of \$493,000 and \$974,000, respectively.
- (3) Fees and other revenue primarily consist of rental income, marketing revenue and management fees.

Revenue increased 3.3% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in revenue was driven primarily by growth in membership revenue and ancillary club revenue. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, revenue increased \$1.4 million at the two clubs opened or acquired subsequent to June 30, 2010 (both opened in the fourth quarter of 2011) and \$2.5 million at our clubs opened or acquired prior to June 30, 2010.

## Table of Contents

Joining fees increased in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of the revenue recognized from the increased amounts collected that we initially deferred over the estimated average membership life. In the second quarter of 2010, we began to collect an increased amount of joining fees as compared with the previous two years; however because we recognize these fees into revenue over the estimated average membership life, we did not immediately begin realizing these increases in revenue. We expect we will continue to see increases in joining fees revenue in the second half of 2012.

Personal training revenue increased 5.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to increased member interest in personal training, increases in products offered and improvements in the selling process.

Other ancillary club revenue improved 7.2% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year due to management focus and increased interest in our Small Group Training programs.

Comparable club revenue increased 2.1% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. There was a 1.5% increase due to an increase in membership and a 2.2% increase due to a collective increase in ancillary club revenue, initiation fees and other revenue. These increases were partially offset by a 1.6% decrease in the price of our dues and fees.

### Operating expenses (in thousands) were comprised of the following for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		% Variance
	2012	2011	
Payroll and related	\$ 45,280	\$ 45,101	0.4 %
Club operating	44,611	43,385	2.8 %
General and administrative	6,135	6,096	0.6 %
Depreciation and amortization	12,419	13,185	(5.8)%
Operating expenses	<u>\$ 108,445</u>	<u>\$ 107,767</u>	0.6 %

Operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$678,000, or 0.6%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due to a 1.1% increase in the total months of club operation from 475 to 480 as well as the following factors:

*Payroll and related* . Payroll and related expenses were relatively flat, with a slight increase of \$179,000, or 0.4%, in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. As a percentage of total revenue, payroll and related expenses decreased to 37.0% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 38.1% in the three months ended June 30, 2011.

*Club operating* . Club operating expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 2.8%, in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This increase was principally attributable to the following:

- Rent and occupancy expenses increased \$1.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. Rent and occupancy costs increased \$144,000 at our clubs that opened after July 1, 2010 and increased \$927,000 at our clubs that opened prior to July 1, 2010. Rent and occupancy expenses decreased \$245,000 related to our clubs that were closed after July 1, 2010, excluding \$275,000 received as an incentive payment from a landlord in connection with a lease termination.

As a percentage of total revenue, club operating expenses decreased to 36.5% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 36.7% in the three months ended June 30, 2011.

*General and administrative* . General and administrative expenses were relatively flat, with a slight increase of \$39,000 or 0.6% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period last year. As a percentage of total revenue, general and administrative expenses decreased to 5.0% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 5.2% in the three months ended June 30, 2011.

## Table of Contents

*Depreciation and amortization* . In the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, depreciation and amortization decreased due to a modest decline in our depreciable fixed asset base. As a percentage of total revenue, depreciation and amortization expenses decreased to 10.2% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 11.1% in the three months ended June 30, 2011.

### Loss on extinguishment of debt

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, loss on extinguishment of debt was \$4.9 million. The proceeds from the 2011 Senior Credit Facility obtained on May 11, 2011 were used to repay the remaining outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Senior Credit Facility of \$164.0 million and the remaining outstanding principal amount of the Senior Discount Notes of \$138.45 million. We incurred \$2.5 million of call premium on the Senior Discount Notes together with the write-off of \$2.4 million of net deferred financing costs related to the debt extinguishment. There were no such costs in the three months ended June 30, 2012.

### Interest expense

In the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, interest expense decreased \$1.1 million due to the refinancing of our debt on May 11, 2011. Pursuant to terms of the indenture governing the Senior Discount Notes and in connection with the retirement of these notes, in the three months ended June 30, 2011 we paid an additional \$1.3 million of interest, representing the 30 day notification period requirement.

### Provision for Corporate Income Taxes

We determined the income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 by estimating the effective annual tax rates for 2012 and 2011 of 39% and 21%, respectively.

We recorded a provision for corporate income taxes of \$3.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$72,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Our provision in the three months ended June 30, 2011 includes a discrete benefit of \$2.1 million for the \$4.9 million loss on extinguishment of debt and \$549,000 of discrete charges that primarily relate to the adjustment of estimated jurisdictional tax rates in effect in 2011. Our effective tax rate was 39% in the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 21% in the three months ended June 30, 2011. The benefits from our captive insurance arrangement impacted our effective tax rate on our pre-tax income in the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 44% to 39% and impacted our effective tax rate on pre-tax income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from 31% to 21%.

### Revenue (in thousands) was comprised of the following for the periods indicated:

	Six Months Ended June 30,				% Variance
	2012		2011		
	Revenue	% Revenue	Revenue	% Revenue	
Membership dues	\$186,207	76.0 %	\$182,982	77.9 %	1.8 %
Joining fees	5,252	2.1 %	2,981	1.2 %	76.2 %
Membership revenue	191,459	78.1 %	185,963	79.1 %	3.0 %
Personal training revenue	35,246	14.4 %	32,400	13.8 %	8.8 %
Other ancillary club revenue	15,833	6.4 %	14,414	6.1 %	9.8 %
Ancillary club revenue	51,079	20.8 %	46,814	19.9 %	9.1 %
Fees and other revenue	2,615	1.1 %	2,213	1.0 %	18.2 %
Total revenue	<u>\$245,153</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>\$234,990</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	4.3 %

Revenue increased 4.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Joining fees increased in the six months ended June 30, 2012 as a result of the revenue recognized from the increased amounts collected that we initially deferred over the estimated average membership life. In the second quarter of 2010, we



## Table of Contents

began to collect an increased amount of joining fees as compared with the previous two years; however because we recognize these fees into revenue over the estimated average membership life, we did not immediately begin realizing these increases in revenue. We expect we will continue to see increases in joining fees revenue in the second half of 2012.

Personal training revenue increased 8.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to increased member interest in personal training, increases in products offered and improvements in the selling process.

Other ancillary club revenue improved 9.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year due to management focus and increased interest in our Small Group Training programs.

Comparable club revenue increased 3.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. There was a 2.4% increase due to an increase in membership and a 2.6% increase due to a collective increase in ancillary club revenue, initiation fees and other revenue. These increases were partly offset by a 1.7% decrease in price of our dues and fees.

### Operating expenses (in thousands) were comprised of the following for the periods indicated:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		% Variance
	2012	2011	
Payroll and related	\$ 92,639	\$ 90,353	2.5 %
Club operating	89,742	87,487	2.6 %
General and administrative	12,068	13,516	(10.7)%
Depreciation and amortization	25,279	26,187	(3.5)%
Operating expenses	<u>\$ 219,728</u>	<u>\$ 217,543</u>	1.0 %

Operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$2.2 million, or 1.0%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due to a 0.5% increase in the total months of club operation from 949 to 954 as well as the following factors:

*Payroll and related*. Payroll and related expenses increased \$2.3 million, or 2.5%, in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The change was primarily impacted by the following:

- Payroll overhead costs increased by \$1.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. There was a \$931,000 increase in overhead costs primarily attributable to increases in full time employment levels and higher overhead salaries resulting from the hiring of several new senior management personnel and a \$377,000 increase in overhead labor costs related to towel distributions as the Company no longer outsources this function and performs this function more efficiently in-house.
- Payroll costs directly related to our personal training, group fitness training, and programming for children increased approximately \$820,000 primarily attributable to an increase in demand for these programs.

As a percentage of total revenue, payroll and related expenses decreased to 37.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 38.5% in the six months ended June 30, 2011.

*Club operating*. Club operating expenses increased \$2.3 million, or 2.6%, in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. This increase was principally attributable to the following:

- Rent and occupancy expenses increased \$1.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. Rent and occupancy costs increased \$395,000 at our clubs that opened after July 1, 2010 and increased \$1.5 million at our clubs that opened prior to July 1, 2010. Rent and occupancy expenses decreased \$483,000 related to our clubs that were closed after July 1, 2010, excluding \$275,000 received as an incentive payment from a landlord in connection with a lease termination.

As a percentage of total revenue, club operating expenses decreased to 36.6% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 37.2% in the six months ended June 30, 2011.



---

## Table of Contents

*General and administrative*. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was principally attributable to the cost reduction efforts within various general and administrative expense accounts including reductions in general liability insurance, consulting and legal expenses. The primary impact of these items is as follows:

- Legal and consulting expenses decreased by \$1.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year. Consulting expense decreased \$537,000 primarily related to the relocation of our data center in the three months ended March 31, 2011 to a co-location facility, and legal expenses decreased \$802,000.

*Depreciation and amortization*. In the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, depreciation and amortization decreased due primarily to a modest decline in our depreciable fixed asset base. As a percentage of total revenue, depreciation and amortization expenses decreased to 10.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 11.1% in six months ended June 30, 2011.

### Loss on extinguishment of debt

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, loss on extinguishment of debt was \$4.9 million. The proceeds from the 2011 Senior Credit Facility obtained on May 11, 2011 were used to repay the remaining outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Senior Credit Facility of \$164.0 million and the remaining outstanding principal amount of the Senior Discount Notes of \$138.45 million. We incurred \$2.5 million of call premium on the Senior Discount Notes together with the write-off of \$2.4 million of net deferred financing costs related to the debt extinguishment. There were no such costs in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

### Interest expense

In the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, interest expense decreased \$718,000 due to the refinancing of our debt on May 11, 2011. Pursuant to terms of the indenture governing the Senior Discount Notes and in connection with the retirement of these notes, in the three months ended June 30, 2011 we paid an additional \$1.3 million of interest, representing the 30 day notification period requirement. This effect was partially offset by increases in interest expense attributable to the higher weighted average interest rates on our outstanding term loan which was refinanced on May 11, 2011 as compared to our previous term loan outstanding in the prior year period which carried lower weighted average interest rates.

### Provision for Corporate Income Taxes

We determined the income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 by estimating the effective annual tax rates for 2012 and 2011 of 39% and 35%, respectively.

We recorded a provision for corporate income taxes of \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$601,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our provision for the six months ended June 30, 2011 includes a discrete benefit of \$2.1 million for the \$4.9 million loss on extinguishment of debt and \$549,000 of discrete charges in Q2 that primarily relate to the adjustment of estimated jurisdictional tax rates in effect in 2011. Our effective tax rate was 39% in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 35% in the six months ended June 30, 2011. The benefits from our captive insurance arrangement impacted our effective tax rate on our pre-tax income in the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 44% to 39% and impacted our effective tax rate on pre-tax income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 45% to 35%.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, we have satisfied our liquidity needs through cash generated from operations and borrowing arrangements. Principal liquidity needs have included the acquisition and development of new clubs, debt service requirements and other capital expenditures necessary to upgrade, expand and renovate existing clubs. We believe that we can satisfy our current and longer-term debt obligations and capital expenditure requirements primarily with cash on hand, cash flow from operations and our borrowing arrangements for at least the next 12 months.

*Operating Activities*. Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 decreased \$54,000 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was driven by reductions in cash flows resulting from the timing of certain payments and collections made associated with our prepaid expenses and deferred revenues, partially offset by the overall increase in earnings.

## Table of Contents

*Investing Activities.* Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$4.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. Investing activities in both six month periods consisted of expanding and remodeling existing clubs, and the purchase of new fitness equipment. There were no future clubs under construction in the six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to two future clubs under construction in the six months ended June 30, 2011, both of which opened in the fourth quarter of 2011.

For the year ending December 31, 2012, we currently plan to invest between \$24.0 million to \$26.0 million in capital expenditures. This range is compared to \$30.9 million of capital expenditures in 2011. This amount includes approximately \$500,000 to \$1.0 million related to potential 2013 club openings, approximately \$18.0 million to \$19.0 million to continue to upgrade existing clubs and \$2.0 million to \$3.0 million principally related to major renovations at clubs with recent lease renewals and upgrading our in-club entertainment system network. We also expect to invest \$2.5 million to \$3.0 million to enhance our management information systems. We expect that these expenditures will be funded by cash flows provided by operations and available cash on hand.

*Financing Activities.* Net cash used in financing activities decreased \$9.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, we made principal payments of \$21.0 million on the 2011 Term Loan Facility which was partially offset by \$2.0 million of proceeds from the exercise of stock options. In the six months ended June 30, 2011, we made principal payments of \$14.1 million on the 2007 Term Loan Facility. On May 11, 2011, we refinanced our long-term debt. In accordance with the refinancing, we repaid the remaining principal amounts of the 2007 Term Loan Facility of \$164.0 million and the Senior Discount Notes of \$138.5 million and received \$297.0 million under the 2011 Term Loan Facility, net of the original issue discount of \$3.0 million. In connection with the refinancing, we paid \$8.1 million in debt issuance costs.

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$56.8 million of cash and cash equivalents. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and the interest rate swap. Although we deposit our cash with more than one financial institution, as of June 30, 2012 approximately \$39.1 million was held at one financial institution. We have not experienced any losses on cash and cash equivalent accounts to date and we do not believe that, based on the credit ratings of these financial institutions, we are exposed to any significant credit risk related to cash at this time.

As of June 30, 2012, our total gross consolidated debt was \$270.7 million. This substantial amount of debt could have significant consequences, including the following:

- making it more difficult to satisfy our obligations;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic conditions;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions of new clubs and other general corporate requirements;
- requiring cash flow from operations for the payment of interest on our credit facility and the payment of principal pursuant to excess cash flow requirements and reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions of new clubs and general corporate requirements; and
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate.

These limitations and consequences may place us at a competitive disadvantage to other less-leveraged competitors.

### **2011 Senior Credit Facility**

On May 11, 2011, TSI, LLC entered into a \$350 million senior secured credit facility (“2011 Senior Credit Facility”). The 2011 Senior Credit Facility consists of a \$300 million term loan facility (“2011 Term Loan Facility”), and a \$50 million revolving loan facility (“2011 Revolving Loan Facility”). The 2011 Term Loan Facility was issued at an original issue discount (“OID”) of 1.0% or \$3.0 million. The proceeds were used to pay off amounts outstanding under the Company’s previously outstanding 2007 Senior Credit Facility, to pay the redemption price for all of the Company’s outstanding 11% senior discount notes due in 2014 (“Senior Discount Notes”), and to pay related fees and expenses. None of the revolving facility was drawn upon as of the closing date, but loans under the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility may be drawn from time to time pursuant to the terms of the 2011 Senior Credit Facility. The 2011 Term Loan Facility matures on May 11, 2018, and the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility matures on May 11, 2016. The borrowings under the 2011 Senior Credit Facility are guaranteed and secured by assets and pledges of capital stock by the Company, TSI, LLC and the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of TSI, LLC.

## Table of Contents

The \$3.0 million OID was recorded as a contra-liability to long-term debt on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet, and is being amortized as interest expense using the effective interest method. The unamortized balance of the OID as of June 30, 2012 was \$2.6 million.

As of June 30, 2012, there were no outstanding 2011 Revolving Loan Facility borrowings and outstanding letters of credit issued totaled \$6.3 million. The unutilized portion of the 2011 Revolving Loan Facility as of June 30, 2012 was \$43.7 million.

Borrowings under the 2011 Term Loan Facility, at TSI, LLC's option, bear interest at either the administrative agent's base rate plus 4.5% or its Eurodollar rate plus 5.5% (each as defined in the 2011 Senior Credit Facility). The Eurodollar Rate has a floor of 1.50% and the base rate a floor of 2.50% with respect to the outstanding Term Loans. As of June 30, 2012, the interest rate was 7.0%. TSI, LLC is required to pay 0.25% of principal, or \$750,000 per quarter. However, if, as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of TSI Holdings, the total leverage ratio, as defined, is greater than 2.75:1.00, TSI, LLC is required to pay \$3.75 million, or 1.25% of principal per quarter. As of June 30, 2012, TSI, LLC had a total leverage ratio of 2.62:1.00. TSI, LLC will be required to make a principal payment of \$750,000 on September 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, we had made a total of \$29.3 million in principal payments on the 2011 Term Loan Facility.

The terms of the 2011 Senior Credit Facility provide for financial covenants which require TSI, LLC to maintain a total leverage ratio, as defined, of no greater than 4.50:1.00 or less effective as of March 31, 2012 and thereafter; an interest expense coverage ratio of no less than 2.00:1.00; and a covenant that limits capital expenditures to \$40 million for the four quarters ending in any quarter during which the total leverage ratio is greater than 3.00:1.00 and to \$50 million for the four quarters ending in any quarter during which the ratio is less than or equal to 3.00:1.00 but greater than 2.50:1.00. This covenant does not limit capital expenditures if the ratio is less than or equal to 2.50:1.00. TSI, LLC was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012 with a total leverage ratio of 2.62:1.00 and an interest expense coverage ratio of 4.28:1.00.

TSI, LLC may prepay the 2011 Term Loan Facility and 2011 Revolving Loan Facility without premium or penalty in accordance with the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, except that a prepayment premium of 2.0% is payable prior to May 11, 2012 and a prepayment premium of 1.0% is payable from May 11, 2012 to May 11, 2013. Mandatory prepayments are required in certain circumstances relating to cash flow in excess of certain expenditures, asset sales, insurance recovery and incurrence of certain other debt. The 2011 Senior Credit Facility contains provisions that require excess cash flow payments, as defined in the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, to be applied against outstanding 2011 Term Loan Facility balances. The excess cash flow is calculated as of December 31 and paid on March 31. The applicable excess cash flow repayment percentage is applied to the excess cash flow when determining the excess cash flow payment. Earnings, changes in working capital and capital expenditure levels all impact the determination of any excess cash flows. The applicable excess cash flow repayment percentage is 75% when the total leverage ratio exceeds 3.00:1.00; 50% when the total leverage ratio is greater than 2.50:1.00 but less than or equal to 3.00:1.00; 25% when the total leverage ratio is greater than 2.00:1.00 but less than or equal to 2.50:1.00 and 0% when the total leverage ratio is less than or equal to 2.00:1.00. This calculation was performed as of December 31, 2011 and as a result a principal payment of \$16.5 million was made with cash on hand on March 31, 2012. Based on current forecasted expectations of earnings, changes in working capital, capital expenditures and debt levels, the Company estimates that the excess cash flow calculation as of December 31, 2012 would result in approximately \$12.0 million payable on March 31, 2013. This estimate assumes a total leverage ratio greater than 2.50:1.00 but less than or equal to 3.00:1.00 as of December 31, 2012. If the Company's total leverage ratio as of December 31, 2012 were greater than 2.00:1.00 but less than or equal to 2.50:1.00, the excess cash flow payment would approximate \$6.0 million.

As of June 30, 2012, the 2011 Term Loan Facility has a gross principal balance of \$270.7 million and balance of \$268.2 million, net of the unamortized OID.

Debt issuance costs related to the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were \$8.1 million, of which, \$7.3 million is being amortized as interest expense, and is included in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Unamortized loan costs of \$1.6 million related to the 2007 Senior Credit Facility and the Senior Discount Notes and \$777,000 of costs related to the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were written off in the three months ended June 30, 2011 and are included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Repayment of 2007 Senior Credit Facility*

Contemporaneously with entry into the 2011 Senior Credit Facility, TSI, LLC repaid the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Term Loan Facility of \$164.0 million. The 2007 Term Loan Facility was set to expire on the earlier of February 27, 2014, or August 1, 2013, if the Senior Discount Notes were still outstanding. There were no outstanding amounts under the 2007 Revolving Loan Facility as of such date. The 2007 Term Loan Facility was repaid at face value plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$447,000 and fees related to the letters of credit of \$27,000. The total cash paid in connection with this repayment was \$164.5 million as of May 11, 2011 with no early repayment penalty. We determined that the 2011 Senior Credit Facility was not substantially different than the 2007 Senior Credit Facility for certain lenders based on the less than 10% difference in cash flows of the respective debt instruments. A portion of the transaction was therefore accounted for as a modification of the 2007 Senior Credit Facility and a portion was accounted for as an extinguishment. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded refinancing charges of approximately \$634,000, representing the write-off of the remaining unamortized debt costs related to the 2007 Senior Credit Facility, which is included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

### *Redemption of Senior Discount Notes*

A portion of the proceeds from the 2011 Senior Credit Facility were also used to pay the remaining principal amount on the Senior Discount Notes of \$138.45 million plus a call premium of 1.833% of the principal amount thereof totaling approximately \$2.5 million and accrued interest of \$5.5 million. The accrued interest included interest through May 11, 2011 of \$4.2 million, plus 30 days of additional interest of \$1.3 million representing the interest charge during the 30 day notification period. We determined that the 2011 Senior Credit Facility was substantially different than the Senior Discount Notes. In the three months ended June 30, 2011, we wrote-off unamortized deferred financing costs of approximately \$916,000 related to the redemption of the Senior Discount Notes, which is included in loss on extinguishment of debt in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

### *Financial Instruments*

In our normal operations, we are exposed to market risks relating to fluctuations in interest rates. In order to minimize the negative impact of such fluctuations on our cash flows we may enter into derivative financial instruments (“derivatives”), such as interest-rate swaps. Any instruments are not entered into for trading purposes, and we only use commonly traded instruments. Currently, we have used derivatives solely relating to the variability of cash-flow from interest rate fluctuations.

Effective July 13, 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap arrangement which effectively converted \$150 million, of our variable-rate debt based on a one-month Eurodollar rate to a fixed rate of 1.983%, or a total fixed rate of 7.483%, on this \$150 million when including the applicable 5.50% margin. This swap matures on July 13, 2014. As permitted by ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, we have designated this swap as a cash flow hedge, the effects of which have been reflected in our condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The objective of this hedge is to manage the variability of cash flows in the interest payments related to the portion of the variable-rate debt designated as being hedged.

When a derivative is executed and hedge accounting is appropriate, it is designated as a cash flow hedge at inception with re-designation being permitted under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging. Interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes since they are being used to transform variable interest rate exposure to fixed interest rate exposure on a recognized liability (debt). On an ongoing basis, we perform a quarterly assessment of the effectiveness of the hedge relationship and measure and recognize any hedge ineffectiveness in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, hedge ineffectiveness was evaluated using the hypothetical derivative method, and the ineffective portion of the hedge was reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. The amount related to hedge ineffectiveness for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was de minimis.

Counterparties to our derivatives are major banking institutions with credit ratings of investment grade or better and no collateral is required, and there are no significant risk concentrations. We believe the risk of incurring losses on derivative contracts related to credit risk is unlikely.

## Table of Contents

### Contractual Obligations

Our aggregate long-term debt and operating lease obligations as of June 30, 2012 were as follows:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period (in thousands)				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$ 270,743	\$ 15,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$243,743
Interest payments on long-term debt (1)	102,577	19,388	33,936	34,597	14,656
Operating lease obligations (2)	705,123	84,630	161,889	143,954	314,650
Total contractual obligations	<u>\$1,078,443</u>	<u>\$119,018</u>	<u>\$201,825</u>	<u>\$184,551</u>	<u>\$573,049</u>

#### Notes:

- (1) Based on interest rates on the 2011 Term Loan Facility as of June 30, 2012.
- (2) Operating lease obligations include base rent only. Certain leases provide for additional rent based on real estate taxes, common area maintenance and defined amounts based on the operating results of the lessee.

The following long-term liabilities included on the condensed consolidated balance sheet are excluded from the table above: income taxes (including uncertain tax positions or benefits), insurance accruals and other accruals. We are unable to estimate the timing of payments for these items.

### Working Capital

In recent years, we have typically operated with a working capital deficit. We had working capital of \$4.9 million at June 30, 2012, as compared with a working capital deficit of \$18.1 million at December 31, 2011. Major components of our working capital deficit on the current liability side are deferred revenues, accrued expenses (including, among others, accrued construction in progress and equipment, payroll and occupancy costs) and the current portion of long-term debt. These current liabilities had more than offset the main current assets, which consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other current assets, and the current portion of deferred tax assets. Payments underlying the current liability for deferred revenue might not be held as cash and cash equivalents, but may be used for the Company's business needs, including financing and investing commitments, which contributes to the working capital deficit. The current portion of deferred revenue liability relates to dues and services paid-in-full in advance and joining fees paid at the time of enrollment and totaled \$42.6 million and \$40.8 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Joining fees received are deferred and amortized over the estimated average membership life of a club member. As of April 1, 2012, the estimated average membership life was 28 months for our unrestricted members and 27 months for our restricted student members. Prepaid dues are generally realized over a period of up to twelve months, while fees for prepaid services normally are realized over a period of one to nine months. In periods when we increase the number of clubs open and consequently increase the level of payments received in advance, we anticipate that we will continue to have deferred revenue balances at levels similar to or greater than those currently maintained. By contrast, any decrease in demand for our services or reductions in joining fees collected would have the effect of reducing deferred revenue balances, which would likely require us to rely more heavily on other sources of funding. In either case, a significant portion of the deferred revenue is not expected to constitute a liability that must be funded with cash. At the time a member joins our club, we incur enrollment costs, a portion of which are deferred over the estimated average membership life. These costs are recorded as a long-term asset and as such do not offset the working capital deficit. Any working capital deficits in future periods, as in the past, will be funded using cash on hand, cash flows from operations and borrowings under our 2011 Senior Credit Facility. We believe that these sources will be sufficient to cover such future deficits.

### Recent Changes in or Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 — Recent Accounting Pronouncements to the condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

### Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including, without limitation, statements regarding future financial results and performance, potential sales revenue, legal contingencies and tax benefits, and the existence of adverse litigation and other risks, uncertainties and factors set forth under Item 1A., entitled "Risk Factors", in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 and in our other reports and documents filed with the SEC. These statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside our control, including, among others, the level of market demand for our services, economic conditions affecting the Company's business,

---

## Table of Contents

the geographic concentration of the Company's clubs, competitive pressure, the ability to achieve reductions in operating costs and to continue to integrate acquisitions, environmental matters, any security and privacy breaches involving customer data, the levels and terms of the Company's indebtedness, and other specific factors discussed herein and in other SEC filings by us (including our reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q filed with the SEC). We believe that all forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions when made; however, we caution that it is impossible to predict actual results or outcomes or the effects of risks, uncertainties or other factors on anticipated results or outcomes and that, accordingly, one should not place undue reliance on these statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date when made and we undertake no obligation to update these statements in light of subsequent events or developments. Actual results may differ materially from anticipated results or outcomes discussed in any forward-looking statement.



---

## Table of Contents

### Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Our debt is impacted by fixed and variable rates so that we are exposed to market risks resulting from interest rate fluctuations. We regularly evaluate our exposure to these risks and take measures to mitigate these risks on our consolidated financial results. We do not participate in speculative derivative trading.

Borrowings for the 2011 Term Loan Facility are for one-month periods in the case of Eurodollar borrowings. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to interest expense on variable rate debt. Effective July 13, 2011, we entered into an interest rate swap with a notional amount totaling \$150.0 million to hedge one-half of our variable rate debt to a fixed rate. Changes in the fair value of this derivative will be recorded each period in accumulated other comprehensive income to the extent the derivative is highly effective with any ineffectiveness recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Based on the amount of our variable rate debt and our interest rate swap agreement as of June 30, 2012, a hypothetical 100 basis point interest increase would not have affected interest expense for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 as the variable rate debt contains a Eurodollar floor of 1.5%. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$270.7 million outstanding on the 2011 Term Loan Facility.

For additional information concerning the terms of our 2011 Term Loan Facility, see Note 3 – Long-Term Debt to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

### Item 4. *Controls and Procedures*

***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:*** We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed or submitted by us under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurances of achieving the desired controls.

As of June 30, 2012, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

***Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting:*** There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. *Legal Proceedings.*

On or about March 1, 2005, in an action styled *Sarah Cruz, et al v. Town Sports International, d/b/a New York Sports Club*, plaintiffs commenced a purported class action against TSI, LLC in the Supreme Court, New York County, seeking unpaid wages and alleging that TSI, LLC violated various overtime provisions of the New York State Labor Law with respect to the payment of wages to certain trainers and assistant fitness managers. On or about June 18, 2007, the same plaintiffs commenced a second purported class action against TSI, LLC in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, seeking unpaid wages and alleging that TSI, LLC violated various wage payment and overtime provisions of the New York State Labor Law with respect to the payment of wages to all New York purported hourly employees. On September 17, 2010, TSI, LLC made motions to dismiss the class action allegations of both lawsuits for plaintiffs' failure to timely file motions to certify the class actions. Oral argument on the motions occurred on November 10, 2010. A decision is still pending. While it is not possible to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or a range of loss in the case of an unfavorable outcome to TSI, LLC at this time, we intend to contest these cases vigorously. Depending upon the ultimate outcome, these matters may have a material adverse effect on TSI, LLC's and the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

On September 22, 2009, in an action styled *Town Sports International, LLC v. Ajilon Solutions, a division of Ajilon Professional Staffing LLC* (Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, 602911-09), TSI, LLC brought an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, against Ajilon for breach of contract, conversion and replevin, seeking, among other things, money damages against Ajilon for breaching its agreement to design and deliver to TSI, LLC a new sports club enterprise management system known as GIMS, including failing to provide copies of the computer source code written for GIMS, related documentation, properly identified requirements documents and other property owned and licensed by TSI, LLC. Subsequently, on October 14, 2009, Ajilon brought a counterclaim against TSI, LLC alleging breach of contract, alleging, among other things, failure to pay outstanding invoices in the amount of \$2.9 million. On March 3, 2011, Ajilon amended its counterclaims to include additional claims for breach of contract and for unjust enrichment, including claims for unspecified additional damages for work allegedly performed by one of its subcontractors. On March 7, 2011, TSI, LLC amended its complaint against Ajilon to add new allegations and claims for fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, fraud, and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing (the "additional claims"). On March 28, 2011, Ajilon moved to dismiss the additional claims. On June 8, 2012, the court granted the motion in part, dismissing the fraudulent inducement claim, the negligent misrepresentation claim, and the claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. On February 3, 2012, TSI, LLC filed a motion for partial summary judgment on one of its contract claims. On March 1, 2012, Ajilon filed a cross motion for partial summary judgment seeking to dismiss one of the contract claims of TSI, LLC. On June 8, 2012, the court denied the motion of TSI, LLC and granted Ajilon's motion. On June 25, 2012, TSI, LLC moved to reargue the summary judgment motions. This motion remains pending. Discovery is complete and the parties are scheduled to attend a pre-trial conference in July 2012. We believe at this time the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is not probable. TSI, LLC intends to prosecute vigorously its claims against Ajilon and defend against Ajilon's counterclaims.

On February 7, 2007, in an action styled *White Plains Plaza Realty, LLC v. TSI, LLC et al.*, the landlord of one of TSI, LLC's former health and fitness clubs filed a lawsuit in state court against it and two of its health club subsidiaries alleging, among other things, breach of lease in connection with the decision to close the club located in a building owned by the plaintiff and leased to a subsidiary of TSI, LLC, and take additional space in the nearby facility leased by another subsidiary of TSI, LLC. The trial court granted the landlord damages against its tenant in the amount of approximately \$700,000, including interest and costs ("Initial Award"). TSI, LLC was held to be jointly liable with the tenant for the amount of approximately \$488,000, under a limited guarantee of the tenant's lease obligations. The landlord subsequently appealed the trial court's award of damages, and on December 21, 2010, the appellate court reversed, in part, the trial court's decision and ordered the case remanded to the trial court for an assessment of additional damages, of approximately \$750,000 plus interest and costs (the "Additional Award"). On February 7, 2011, the landlord moved for re-argument of the appellate court's decision, seeking additional damages plus attorneys' fees. On April 8, 2011, the appellate court denied the landlord's motion. On August 29, 2011, the Additional Award (amounting to approximately \$900,000), was entered against the tenant. TSI, LLC does not believe it is probable that TSI, LLC will be held liable to pay for any amount of the Additional Award. Separately, TSI, LLC is party to an agreement with a third-party developer, which by its terms provides indemnification for the full amount of any liability of any nature arising out of the lease described above, including attorneys' fees incurred to enforce the indemnity. In connection with the Initial Award (and in furtherance of the indemnification agreement), TSI, LLC and the developer have entered into an agreement pursuant to which the developer has agreed to pay the amount of the Initial Award in installments over time. The indemnification agreement also covers the Additional Award. The developer to date



---

## Table of Contents

has not paid the amount of the Additional Award to the landlord, and the landlord has commenced a special proceeding in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Westchester County, to collect the Additional Award directly from the developer. A motion to dismiss the special proceeding made by the developer was denied by the court on March 13, 2012. The special proceeding currently is in the discovery phase.

On or about May 30, 2012, in an action styled *James Labbe, et al. v. Town Sports International, LLC*, plaintiff commenced a purported class action under the New York State labor law on behalf of personal trainers employed in New York State, and a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act (the “FLSA”) on behalf of all personal trainers, in the Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York. The action is largely duplicative of the *Cruz* case. Labbe seeks to represent a smaller subset of the same class of New York personal trainers who would be represented by Cruz if a class action were certified in that matter. TSI, LLC could not be held liable to pay the same New York personal trainers for the same damages in both cases. Labbe seeks unpaid wages and damages from TSI, LLC and alleges violations of various provisions of the New York State labor law and the FLSA with respect to payment of wages and TSI, LLC’s notification and record-keeping obligations. TSI, LLC has not yet responded to this complaint. The court has scheduled an initial pre-trial conference for August 17, 2012. While it is not possible to estimate the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or a range of loss in the case of an unfavorable outcome to TSI, LLC at this time, the Company intends to contest this case vigorously. Depending upon the ultimate outcome, this matter may have a material adverse effect on TSI, LLC’s and the Company’s consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In addition to the litigation discussed above, we are involved in various other lawsuits, claims and proceedings incidental to the ordinary course of business, including personal injury and employee relations claims. The results of litigation are inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in diversion of significant resources. The results of these other lawsuits, claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of such proceedings, in the opinion of the Company, either the likelihood of loss is remote or any reasonably possible loss associated with the resolution of such proceedings is not expected to be material either individually or in the aggregate.

### **ITEM 1A. Risk Factors**

There have not been any material changes to the information related to the ITEM 1A. “Risk Factors” disclosure in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

### **ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

---

## Table of Contents

### **ITEM 3. *Defaults Upon Senior Securities***

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 4. *Mine Safety Disclosures***

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 5. *Other Information***

Not applicable.

### **ITEM 6. *Exhibits***

Required exhibits are listed in the Index to Exhibits and are incorporated herein by reference.

From time to time we may use our web site as a channel of distribution of material company information. Financial and other material information regarding the Company is routinely posted on and accessible at <http://investor.mysportsclubs.com>. In addition, you may automatically receive email alerts and other information about us by enrolling your email by visiting the “Email Alerts” section at <http://investor.mysportsclubs.com>.

The foregoing information regarding our web site and its content is for convenience only. The content of our web site is not deemed to be incorporated by reference into this report nor should it be deemed to have been filed with the SEC.

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TOWN SPORTS INTERNATIONAL  
HOLDINGS, INC.

DATE: July 26, 2012

By: /s/ Daniel Gallagher  
Daniel Gallagher  
*Chief Financial Officer*  
*(principal financial and accounting officer)*

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

The following is a list of all exhibits filed or furnished as part of this report:

<b><u>Exhibit No.</u></b>	<b><u>Description of Exhibit</u></b>
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Town Sports International Holdings, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006).
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 19, 2008).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) and Rule 15d – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) and Rule 15d – 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

\* Furnished herewith

## CERTIFICATION

I, Robert Giardina, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 of Town Sports International Holdings, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ Robert Giardina  
Robert Giardina  
Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 26, 2012

## CERTIFICATION

I, Daniel Gallagher, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 of Town Sports International Holdings, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ Daniel Gallagher  
Daniel Gallagher  
Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 26, 2012

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert Giardina, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78m); and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Robert Giardina

Robert Giardina

Town Sports International Holdings, Inc.

Chief Executive Officer

July 26, 2012

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Daniel Gallagher, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78m); and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Daniel Gallagher

Daniel Gallagher

Town Sports International Holdings, Inc.

Chief Financial Officer

July 26, 2012

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.